

Lecture 6 —  
Design and Implementation of PLs

(2) Procedures

CSE307: Programming Languages

Minseok Jeon

2026 Spring

# Review: The Let Language

---

Syntax:

$$\begin{aligned} P &\rightarrow E \\ E &\rightarrow n \\ &| x \\ &| E + E \\ &| E - E \\ &| \text{iszero } E \\ &| \text{if } E \text{ then } E \text{ else } E \\ &| \text{let } x = E \text{ in } E \\ &| \text{read} \end{aligned}$$

# Review: The Let Language

---

Semantic domain:

$$\begin{aligned} Val &= \mathbb{Z} + Bool \\ Env &= Var \rightarrow Val \end{aligned}$$

Semantics rules:

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{}{\rho \vdash n \Rightarrow n} \quad \frac{}{\rho \vdash x \Rightarrow \rho(x)} \\ \\ \frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow n_2}{\rho \vdash E_1 + E_2 \Rightarrow n_1 + n_2} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow n_2}{\rho \vdash E_1 - E_2 \Rightarrow n_1 - n_2} \\ \\ \frac{}{\rho \vdash \text{read} \Rightarrow n} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash E \Rightarrow 0}{\rho \vdash \text{iszero } E \Rightarrow \text{true}} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash E \Rightarrow n}{\rho \vdash \text{iszero } E \Rightarrow \text{false}} \quad n \neq 0 \\ \\ \frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow \text{true} \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{if } E_1 \text{ then } E_2 \text{ else } E_3 \Rightarrow v} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow \text{false} \quad \rho \vdash E_3 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{if } E_1 \text{ then } E_2 \text{ else } E_3 \Rightarrow v} \\ \\ \frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad [x \mapsto v_1]\rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{let } x = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 \Rightarrow v} \end{array}$$

# Proc = Let + Procedures

---

$P \rightarrow E$   
 $E \rightarrow n$   
|  $x$   
|  $E + E$   
|  $E - E$   
| iszero  $E$   
| if  $E$  then  $E$  else  $E$   
| let  $x = E$  in  $E$   
| read  
|  $\text{proc } x E$   
|  $E E$

## Example

---

- `let f = proc (x) (x-11)`  
`in (f (f 77))`
  
- `((proc (f) (f (f 77))) (proc (x) (x-11)))`

# Free/Bound Variables of Procedures

---

- An occurrence of the variable  $x$  is *bound* when it occurs without definitions in the body of a procedure whose formal parameter is  $x$ .
- Otherwise, the variable is *free*.
- Examples:
  - `proc (y) (x+y)`
  - `proc (x) (let y = 1 in x + y + z)`
  - `proc (x) (proc (y) (x+y))`
  - `let x = 1 in proc (y) (x+y)`
  - `let x = 1 in proc (y) (x+y+z)`

# Static vs. Dynamic Scoping

---

What is the result of the program?

```
let x = 1
in let f = proc (y) (x+y)
    in let x = 2
        in let g = proc (y) (x+y)
            in (f 1) + (g 1)
```

Two ways to determine free variables of procedures:

- In *static scoping* (*lexical scoping*), the procedure body is evaluated in the environment where the procedure is defined (i.e. procedure-creation environment).
- In *dynamic scoping*, the procedure body is evaluated in the environment where the procedure is called (i.e. calling environment)

# Exercises

---

What is the result of the program?

- In static scoping:
- In dynamic scoping:

```
1. let a = 3
   in let p = proc (z) a
      in let f = proc (x) (p 0)
         in let a = 5
            in (f 2)
```

```
2. let a = 3
   in let p = proc (z) a
      in let f = proc (a) (p 0)
         in let a = 5
            in (f 2)
```

# Why Static Scoping?

---

Most modern languages use static scoping. Why?

- Reasoning about programs is much simpler in static scoping.
- In static scoping, renaming bound variables by their lexical definitions does not change the semantics, which is unsafe in dynamic scoping.

```
let x = 1
in let f = proc (y) (x+y)
    in let x = 2
        in let g = proc (y) (x+y)
            in (f 1) + (g 1)
```

- In static scoping, names are resolved at compile-time.
- In dynamic scoping, names are resolved only at runtime.

# Semantics of Procedures: Static Scoping

---

- Domain:

$$\begin{aligned}Val &= \mathbb{Z} + Bool + Procedure \\ Procedure &= Var \times E \times Env \\ Env &= Var \rightarrow Val\end{aligned}$$

The procedure value is called *closures*. The procedure is closed in its creation environment.

- Semantics rules:

$$\frac{}{\rho \vdash \text{proc } x \ E \Rightarrow (x, E, \rho)}$$
$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow (x, E, \rho') \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v \quad [x \mapsto v]\rho' \vdash E \Rightarrow v'}{\rho \vdash E_1 \ E_2 \Rightarrow v'}$$

# Examples

---

$$\overline{\square \vdash (\text{proc } (x) (x)) \ 1 \Rightarrow 1}$$

# Examples

---

---

$\square \vdash$  `let x = 1`  
`in let f = proc (y) (x+y)`  
`in let x = 2`  
`in (f 3)`  $\Rightarrow 4$

# Semantics of Procedures: Dynamic Scoping

---

- Domain:

$$\begin{aligned}Val &= \mathbb{Z} + Bool + Procedure \\ Procedure &= Var \times \mathbf{E} \\ Env &= Var \rightarrow Val\end{aligned}$$

- Semantics rules:

$$\frac{}{\rho \vdash \text{proc } x \ E \Rightarrow (x, E)}$$
$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow (x, E) \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v \quad [x \mapsto v]\rho \vdash E \Rightarrow v'}{\rho \vdash E_1 E_2 \Rightarrow v'}$$

# Examples

---

---

$\square \vdash$  `let x = 1`  
`in let f = proc (y) (x+y)`  
`in let x = 2`  
`in (f 3)`  $\Rightarrow 5$

## cf) Multiple Argument Procedures

---

- We can get the effect of multiple argument procedures by using procedures that return other procedures.
- ex) a function that takes two arguments and return their sum:

```
let f = proc (x) proc (y) (x+y)
in ((f 3) 4)
```



# Recursion is Not Special in Dynamic Scoping

---

With dynamic scoping, recursive procedures require no special mechanism. Running the program

```
let f = proc (x) (f x)
in (f 1)
```

via dynamic scoping semantics

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow (x, E) \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v \quad [x \mapsto v]\rho \vdash E \Rightarrow v'}{\rho \vdash E_1 E_2 \Rightarrow v'}$$

proceeds well:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\vdots}{[f \mapsto (x, f x), x \mapsto 1] \vdash f x \Rightarrow}}{[f \mapsto (x, f x), x \mapsto 1] \vdash f x \Rightarrow}}{[f \mapsto (x, f x)] \vdash f 1 \Rightarrow}}{[] \vdash \text{proc } (x) (f x) \Rightarrow (x, f x, [])}}{[] \vdash \text{let } f = \text{proc } (x) (f x) \text{ in } (f 1) \Rightarrow}$$

# Adding Recursive Procedures

---

$P \rightarrow E$   
 $E \rightarrow n$   
|  $x$   
|  $E + E$   
|  $E - E$   
| `iszero`  $E$   
| `if`  $E$  `then`  $E$  `else`  $E$   
| `let`  $x = E$  `in`  $E$   
| `read`  
| `letrec`  $f(x) = E$  `in`  $E$   
| `proc`  $x$   $E$   
|  $E E$

## Example

---

```
letrec double(x) =  
  if iszero(x) then 0 else ((double (x-1)) + 2)  
in (double 1)
```

# Semantics of Recursive Procedures

---

- Domain:

$$\begin{aligned}Val &= \mathbb{Z} + Bool + Procedure + RecProcedure \\ Procedure &= Var \times \mathbf{E} \times Env \\ RecProcedure &= Var \times Var \times \mathbf{E} \times Env \\ Env &= Var \rightarrow Val\end{aligned}$$

- Semantics rules:

$$\frac{[f \mapsto (f, x, E_1, \rho)]\rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{letrec } f(x) = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 \Rightarrow v}$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow (f, x, E, \rho') \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v \quad [x \mapsto v, f \mapsto (f, x, E, \rho')]\rho' \vdash E \Rightarrow v'}{\rho \vdash E_1 E_2 \Rightarrow v'}$$

# Example

---

$$\frac{\frac{[f \mapsto (f, x, f x, \square)] \vdash f \Rightarrow (f, x, f x, \square)}{[f \mapsto (f, x, f x, \square)] \vdash f 1 \Rightarrow} \quad \frac{\frac{\vdots}{[x \mapsto 1, f \mapsto (f, x, f x, \square)] \vdash f x \Rightarrow} \quad [x \mapsto 1, f \mapsto (f, x, f x, \square)] \vdash f x \Rightarrow}{[f \mapsto (f, x, f x, \square)] \vdash f 1 \Rightarrow}}{\square \vdash \text{letrec } f(x) = f x \text{ in } f 1 \Rightarrow}$$

# Mutually Recursive Procedures

---

```
 $P \rightarrow E$   
 $E \rightarrow n$   
|  $x$   
|  $E + E$   
|  $E - E$   
| iszero  $E$   
| if  $E$  then  $E$  else  $E$   
| let  $x = E$  in  $E$   
| read  
| letrec  $f(x) = E$  in  $E$   
| letrec  $f(x_1) = E_1$  and  $g(x_2) = E_2$  in  $E$   
| proc  $x E$   
|  $E E$ 
```

# Example

---

```
letrec
```

```
  even(x) = if iszero(x) then 1 else odd(x-1)
```

```
  odd(x)  = if iszero(x) then 0 else even(x-1)
```

```
in (odd 13)
```

# Semantics of Recursive Procedures

---

To support mutually recursive procedures, we need to extend the domain and semantics:

- Domain:

$$\begin{aligned} Val &= \dots + MRecProcedure \\ MRecProcedure &= ? \end{aligned}$$

- Semantics rules:

$$\frac{?}{\rho \vdash \text{letrec } f(x) = E_1 \text{ and } g(y) = E_2 \text{ in } E_3 \Rightarrow ?}$$

$$\frac{?}{\rho \vdash E_1 E_2 \Rightarrow ?}$$

# Summary: The Proc Language

---

A programming language with expressions and procedures:

Syntax

$$\begin{aligned} P &\rightarrow E \\ E &\rightarrow n \\ &| x \\ &| E + E \\ &| E - E \\ &| \text{iszero } E \\ &| \text{if } E \text{ then } E \text{ else } E \\ &| \text{let } x = E \text{ in } E \\ &| \text{read} \\ &| \text{letrec } f(x) = E \text{ in } E \\ &| \text{proc } x E \\ &| E E \end{aligned}$$

# Summary

---

## Semantics

$$\frac{}{\rho \vdash n \Rightarrow n} \quad \frac{}{\rho \vdash x \Rightarrow \rho(x)} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow n_2}{\rho \vdash E_1 + E_2 \Rightarrow n_1 + n_2}$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E \Rightarrow 0}{\rho \vdash \text{iszero } E \Rightarrow \text{true}} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash E \Rightarrow n}{\rho \vdash \text{iszero } E \Rightarrow \text{false}} \quad n \neq 0 \quad \frac{}{\rho \vdash \text{read} \Rightarrow n}$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow \text{true} \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{if } E_1 \text{ then } E_2 \text{ else } E_3 \Rightarrow v} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow \text{false} \quad \rho \vdash E_3 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{if } E_1 \text{ then } E_2 \text{ else } E_3 \Rightarrow v}$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad [x \mapsto v_1]\rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{let } x = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 \Rightarrow v} \quad \frac{[f \mapsto (f, x, E_1, \rho)]\rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{letrec } f(x) = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 \Rightarrow v}$$

$$\frac{}{\rho \vdash \text{proc } x \ E \Rightarrow (x, E, \rho)}$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow (x, E, \rho') \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v \quad [x \mapsto v]\rho' \vdash E \Rightarrow v'}{\rho \vdash E_1 \ E_2 \Rightarrow v'}$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow (f, x, E, \rho') \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v \quad [x \mapsto v, f \mapsto (f, x, E, \rho')]\rho' \vdash E \Rightarrow v'}{\rho \vdash E_1 \ E_2 \Rightarrow v'}$$