

# Lecture 1 Review

CSE 307

# Top-Down Inductive Definition

Let us define a certain subset  $S$  of natural numbers ( $\mathbb{N}$ ) as follows:

## Definition ( $S$ )

A natural number  $n$  is in  $S$  if and only if

1.  $n = 0$ , or
2.  $n - 3 \in S$ .

The definition is *inductive*, because the set is defined in terms of itself. What is the set  $S$ ?

# Bottom-up Inductive Definition

An alternative inductive definition of  $\mathcal{S}$ :

## Definition ( $\mathcal{S}$ )

$\mathcal{S}$  is the *smallest* set such that  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  satisfies the following two conditions:

1.  $0 \in \mathcal{S}$ , and
2. if  $n \in \mathcal{S}$ , then  $n + 3 \in \mathcal{S}$ .

# Rules of Inference

The set  $\mathcal{S}$  is defined as inference rules as follows:

## Definition ( $\mathcal{S}$ )

$$\overline{0 \in \mathcal{S}} \quad \frac{n \in \mathcal{S}}{(n + 3) \in \mathcal{S}}$$

Interpret the rules as follows:

“A natural number  $n$  is in  $\mathcal{S}$  iff  $n \in \mathcal{S}$  can be derived from the axiom by applying the inference rules finitely many times”

# Q1: Bottom-up vs Rules of Inference?

- Are they equivalent?

An alternative inductive definition of  $\mathcal{S}$ :

## Definition ( $\mathcal{S}$ )

$\mathcal{S}$  is the *smallest* set such that  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  satisfies the following two conditions:

1.  $0 \in \mathcal{S}$ , and
2. if  $n \in \mathcal{S}$ , then  $n + 3 \in \mathcal{S}$ .

VS

The set  $\mathcal{S}$  is defined as inference rules as follows:

## Definition ( $\mathcal{S}$ )

$$\frac{}{0 \in \mathcal{S}} \quad \frac{n \in \mathcal{S}}{(n + 3) \in \mathcal{S}}$$

Interpret the rules as follows:

“A natural number  $n$  is in  $\mathcal{S}$  iff  $n \in \mathcal{S}$  can be derived from the axiom by applying the inference rules finitely many times”

**Q2: Does This Lecture Cover Compilers?**

# Q3: Are There Any Guidelines for the Open Project?

## (!) Special Project

---

**Project Goal:** Design a programming language that is AI-resistant but human-friendly.

**Challenge:**

- Existing AI systems (ChatGPT, Claude, etc.) can easily solve programming assignments in conventional languages
- This undermines the learning process and academic integrity

**Your Task:**

- Design a new programming language where:
  - AI models struggle to write correct solutions
  - Human programmers can still solve assignments effectively
- Consider: syntax design, semantic features, unconventional paradigms
- Deliverable: Language specification + justification of design choices