

Lecture 1 — Mathematical Preliminaries

CSE205: Theory of Computation

Minseok Jeon

2026 Fall

Today

- Mathematical backgrounds and notation
 - Sets
 - Inductive definitions and inductive proofs

Sets

A *set* is a collection of elements, e.g.,

$$S = \{0, 1, 2\} = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid 0 \leq x \leq 2\}$$

$$S = \{0, 2, 4, 6, \dots\} = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid x \text{ is even}\}$$

Notations:

- $\emptyset$: the empty set
- $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ iff $\forall x \in S_1. x \in S_2$
- $S_1 \subset S_2$ iff $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ and $S_1 \neq S_2$, e.g., $\{1, 2\} \subset \{1, 2, 3\}$, $\{1, 2\} \not\subset \{1, 2\}$
- $|S|$: the number of elements in set S (for finite S)
- S_1 and S_2 are *disjoint* iff $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$

Construction of Sets

Union, intersection, and difference:

$$\mathbf{S}_1 \cup \mathbf{S}_2 = \{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{S}_1 \vee \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{S}_2\}$$

$$\mathbf{S}_1 \cap \mathbf{S}_2 = \{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{S}_1 \wedge \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{S}_2\}$$

$$\mathbf{S}_1 - \mathbf{S}_2 = \{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{S}_1 \wedge \mathbf{x} \notin \mathbf{S}_2\}$$

Complement (with respect to a universe $\mathbf{U}$): $\overline{\mathbf{S}} = \{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{U} \wedge \mathbf{x} \notin \mathbf{S}\}$

Powerset: $2^{\mathbf{S}} = \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{S}) = \{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \subseteq \mathbf{S}\}$

Cartesian product:

$$\mathbf{S}_1 \times \mathbf{S}_2 = \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{S}_1 \wedge \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{S}_2\}$$

In general,

$$\mathbf{S}_1 \times \mathbf{S}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbf{S}_n = \{(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) \mid \mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbf{S}_i \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

Partition

When $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$ are subsets of a given set S , they form a *partition* of S iff:

1. $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$ are mutually disjoint:

$$\forall i, j. i \neq j \Rightarrow S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$$

2. $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$ cover S :

$$\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} S_i = S$$

3. none of the S_i is empty: $\forall i. S_i \neq \emptyset$.

Inductive Definitions

Most of the sets we study in this course are *inductively defined*.

Example (Inductive Definition of Trees)

A set of trees is defined as follows:

1. (Basis) A single node (called *root*) is a tree.
2. (Induction) If $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k$ are *disjoint* trees, then the following is also a tree:
 - 2.1 Begin with a new node N , which is the root of the tree.
 - 2.2 Add edges from N to the roots of each of the trees $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k$.

Example (Inductive Definition of Arithmetic Expressions)

A set of arithmetic expressions is defined as follows:

- (Basis) Any number or letter (i.e., a variable) is an expression.
- (Induction) If E and F are expressions, then so are $E + F$, $E * F$, and (E) .

Inductive Proofs

Induction is used to prove properties about inductively defined sets. Let S be an inductively defined set, and let $P(x)$ be a property of x . To show that $\forall x \in S. P(x)$, it suffices to show that:

1. (Base case) Show $P(x)$ for all basis elements $x \in S$.
2. (Inductive case) For each inductive rule using elements $x_1, \dots, x_k$ of S to construct an element x , show that

if $P(x_1), \dots, P(x_k)$ then $P(x)$.

Here $P(x_1), \dots, P(x_k)$ are the *induction hypotheses*.

Inductive Proofs: Example (Trees)

Theorem

Every tree has one more node than it has edges.

Proof. Formally, we prove $P(T)$ = “if T is a tree with n nodes and e edges, then $n = e + 1$ ”.

- **Base case:** T is a single node. Then $n = 1$ and $e = 0$, so $n = e + 1$ holds.
- **Inductive case:** T is built from a root node N and k smaller trees $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k$.
 - *Induction hypothesis:* $P(T_i)$ holds for $i = 1, \dots, k$; i.e., if T_i has n_i nodes and e_i edges, then $n_i = e_i + 1$.
 - *To show:* if T has n nodes and e edges, then $n = e + 1$.

Inductive Proofs: Example (Trees, cont.)

The nodes of T are node N and all nodes of the T_i 's, so $n = 1 + n_1 + \cdots + n_k$. The edges of T are the k edges added in the inductive step, plus the edges of the T_i 's, so $e = k + e_1 + \cdots + e_k$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} n &= 1 + n_1 + \cdots + n_k && \text{(def. of } n\text{)} \\ &= 1 + (e_1 + 1) + \cdots + (e_k + 1) && \text{(induction hypothesis)} \\ &= 1 + k + e_1 + \cdots + e_k \\ &= 1 + e && \text{(def. of } e\text{)} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $n = e + 1$, completing the induction. □

Inductive Proofs: Example (Expressions)

Theorem

Every arithmetic expression has an equal number of left and right parentheses.

Proof. Formally, $P(G) =$ “if G has l left parentheses and r right parentheses, then $l = r$ ”.

- **Base case:** G is a number or a variable, in which case $l = r = 0$.
- **Inductive case:** G is constructed from smaller expressions. There are three cases.

Inductive Proofs: Example (Expressions, cont.)

Write l_E and r_E for the numbers of left and right parentheses in E (and similarly for F and G).

Case $G = E + F$:

- *Induction hypothesis*: the statement holds for the smaller expressions: $l_E = r_E$ and $l_F = r_F$.
- *To show*: $l_G = r_G$:

$$\begin{aligned}l_G &= l_E + l_F \\&= r_E + r_F \quad (\text{i.h.}) \\&= r_G\end{aligned}$$

Case $G = E * F$: similar.

Case $G = (E)$: the added parentheses contribute one left and one right parenthesis, so $l_G = l_E + 1 = r_E + 1 = r_G$. □

Summary

- Sets: definition, notations, constructions, partitions.
- Inductive definitions and inductive proofs.